NAAB RESPONSE TO WOODBURY UNIVERSITY
2006 ANNUAL REPORT

Rec'd Date: 6/812006
Date of Visit: N/A

Section One:
Checklist of required elements

1. Statistical Report ~Included Not Included
2. Response to deficiencies identified in the most recent VTR ~Included Not Included
3. Causes of Concern ~included Not Included
4. Changes in the accredited program ~Included Not Included

Section Two (A):
Assessment of response to deficiencies

Condition 5: Human Resources
Further progress is needed, since there is little evidence that supports a solution in place to address the team's concerns that the program is out-performing its resource support. Furthermore, as evident in your 2006 Annual report, “the program remains resource-challenged and the concerns of the visiting team is still warranted.” As such, be prepared to address these deficiencies in your program's 2007 APR, and during the next (2008) visit.

Criterion
None reported.

Section Two (B):
Assessment of response to causes of concern

Insufficient progress has been made to address the Visiting Team's causes for concern to clarify the role of the School of Architecture and Design within the context of Woodbury University as to the aspirations of the institution with regards to enrollment and resource and development potential. Further progress is needed.

Section Three:
Changes to the accredited program

San Diego
Administrative shortfalls associated with a remote campus still persist.

New post-professional graduate program
Further reporting is needed on any interrelationship between the first professional program and the new post-professional graduate program M.Arch. RED.

* Although an area may be marked “satisfied, no further reporting required,” the next visiting team may include in its report its own assessment of the program’s response to the deficiency.