OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT

Cliff Notes to the Outcomes Assessment Handbook
I. What is course-based student learning outcomes (SLO) assessment?

It is the process of collecting information that will tell us whether our courses are having the desired impact on the students who successfully complete them; that is, outcomes assessment will tell us whether our students are taking away from our academic offerings the skills, knowledge, values and attitudes that we hope they will.

II. How does Outcomes Assessment Improve Student Learning?

1. Outcomes

   ✓ Determine what educational experiences (instructional activities) will guide students to achieving the stated outcomes for the course, and faculty communicate expectations to students.

2. Instruction

   ✓ Review the alignment of instructional activities, outcomes, expectations, student performance, and make changes when necessary.

3. Assessment

   ✓ Assess students’ performance/achievement of the outcomes.

4. Review

   ✓ Determine the most important things (knowledge, skills, attitudes and/or behaviors) students should take away from the class.
III. Why don’t final grades provide sufficient evidence of learning?

Final grades don’t provide

- Specific information about students’ performance core learning outcomes
- Meaningful data across sections
- Objective student data which can be used by a discipline for improvement of student learning
- Data on how well students are achieving the general education competencies

IV. What are the key values that guide Montgomery College’s approach to outcomes assessment?

1. Faculty are best suited to determine the intended educational outcomes of their academic programs and activities, how to assess these outcomes, and how to use the results for program development and improvement.

2. The results of outcomes assessment should be used to evaluate the effectiveness of academic programs and activities, and student services, and not the performance of individual faculty or staff.

3. Faculty must use the information collected to develop and improve academic programs, that is, they must “close the loop.” If outcomes assessment is used primarily as a reporting tool, then this effort will have been deemed a failure.

4. Central and campus administrators must provide leadership and accountability to the process.

5. Outcomes assessment must be ongoing and performed on a regular basis. In essence, it must become an academic habit.
V. What are the expected outcomes for the outcomes assessment process?

- Student Learning Improves
  - Gen Ed Competencies that are primary to high demand* Gen Ed courses will be regularly assessed as part of the College-wide OA process.
  - Student learning outcomes will be regularly assessed in all high demand* courses as part of the College-wide OA process.
  - Disciplines will choose to assess learning outcomes in their programs, courses, and academic initiatives.
  - College-wide common core learning outcomes will be articulated for all programs, courses and major academic initiatives.

* Courses with 10 or more sections in either fall or spring of the prior academic year.
VI. What are the underlying principles of the Collegewide SLO assessment process?

1. Courses should have a set of common college-wide core learning outcomes
   Course outcomes are based on and mirror the student learning expectations agreed on by the discipline when the course was last approved by the Collegewide Curriculum Committee. Faculty from the discipline are asked to agree on the most important learning outcomes, three of which will be assessed for that course during each assessment cycle. This does not mean that faculty will be required to teach identical content across the entire course, nor does it dictate how faculty choose to deliver any of the course content to their students. What is expected is that during an assessment cycle, the same course outcomes will be assessed using the same methods regardless of where or how it is taught.

2. The entire discipline participates
   Assessing learning outcomes for courses should be important to all faculty in the discipline. Therefore, the process is structured to engage all discipline faculty directly in the assessment activity, as well as in discussions related to the process. Although this approach may require more effort than sampling specific sections or simply soliciting volunteers, it is more equitable and the participation of all faculty results in a full appreciation of the importance of the core learning outcomes, the worth of assessing them, and the value of coming together for meaningful discussions about both.

3. Assessment instruments are embedded into the course
   When assessing student learning outcomes in a course, the easiest and least obtrusive way for faculty and students is to weave the assessment instrument (assignment, exam questions, etc.) into the course rather than have an obvious, add-on test or assignment that doesn’t blend naturally into the course.

4. Consistency is promoted by using common instruments and common scoring
   Faculty members are not expected to teach every section of a multi-section course in exactly the same way. However, the best way to get meaningful and reliable results for outcomes assessment is to have consistency on both the outcomes being assessed and the method by which they are assessed. For this process, that means establishing a set of college-wide common core outcomes for a course, assessing three of these in a given assessment cycle in all sections using a common instrument, and scoring the assessments using a common rubric or scoring approach.

5. Incentive for individual faculty to bias the results in their favor is removed
   It is natural for faculty to be concerned about how assessment data about their students will be reported and used. The College, in a written statement endorsed by the two Executive Vice Presidents, has stated unequivocally that data collected as part of this process will never be reported in a way that would allow it to be linked to an individual student or faculty member, and that assessment results will not be used in the faculty evaluation process. These guarantees, combined with the use of a common assessment
instrument and scoring rubric should remove any incentive for individual faculty members to bias the assessment process in order to “make themselves look good.”

VII. What are the minimum expectations and requirements of disciplines when they participate in the assessment process?

- **They must assess at least three outcomes** – If your course is participating in General Education outcomes assessment, one or two of your outcomes will be based on Gen Ed competencies.

- **There must be faculty consensus** – For the project to be a success, it is essential that there be faculty consensus about the outcomes and the plan to assess them. The faculty workgroup members and their department chairs will be the leaders in helping foster this consensus. Discipline Lead Deans will be asked to work with their respective faculty to accomplish consensus.

- **They must use common definitions for their outcomes** – All faculty teaching the course must share the same definition for these three outcomes, regardless of who teaches it or where.

- **They must use common assessment instruments and scoring schemes** – All faculty members must use a common assessment instrument and score it the same way for the purposes of the outcomes assessment project. As discussed in Part I, faculty members may grade the assessment instrument however they wish for purposes of calculating their own course grades.

- **Faculty must share learning outcomes with students** – For students to take the process seriously and for the process to be as meaningful as possible, students must be aware of the expected learning outcomes for the course and how their performance on each will be assessed. The expectations All this should be communicated early in the course, either as part of a syllabus or through some other printed material. If a rubric will be used to score the assessment, students should receive this as well prior to their participation in the assessment.

- **Faculty must submit assessment data** - Faculty participating in the assessment are expected to record and submit student scores electronically on class spreadsheets provided to them by the Collegewide Outcomes Assessment Team (COAT).

- **They must use the information** – The power of outcomes assessment is the importance it gives to “closing the loop.” This means using the results of an outcomes assessment project to improve future student performance on the student learning outcomes that were being assessed. Thus, it is vital that the discipline discuss the results and use them to celebrate and build on its strengths as well as to discuss and remediate its weaknesses.
VIII. What is the schedule of course participation?

Five-Year Assessment Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th></th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th></th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th></th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>OA Planning FA 07</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>OA Planning FA 08</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>OA Planning FA 09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>OA Planning FA 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>100/100 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>SP 101</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL(SN/FR)</td>
<td>SP 101</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen Ed Focus</td>
<td>Gen Ed Focus</td>
<td>Gen Ed Focus</td>
<td>Gen Ed Focus</td>
<td>Gen Ed Focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Literacy</td>
<td>Quantitative Reasoning(tentative)</td>
<td>Technological Competency (tentative)</td>
<td>Critical Thinking(tentative)</td>
<td>Effective Communication/Writing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As part of the initial OA schedule, SO 101 is doing full implementation in FA 07, and MA 180 completed full scale implementation in FA 06.
IX. What are the steps that a discipline must follow when participating in SLO assessment?

MC’s OA Process is a process that takes advantage of the strengths of outcomes driven instruction to systematically collect and use student performance data on a course wide level to improve student learning.

1. Choose 3 outcomes to assess*
2. Identify a comprehensive list of instructional activities for each outcomes*
3. Develop assessment tool and instructions*
4. Develop scoring tools and instructions*
*should have instructor/discipline input for each part of the plan

1. Select sections to pilot assessment and scoring
2. Gather feedback about the assessment tool, scoring tools and instructions
3. Make necessary changes to the assessment materials, scoring tools or instructions

1. All sections of the course implement the assessment plan

1. Discipline/course instructors review data and observe strengths and weaknesses based on the data and process.
X. What is the detailed timeline for the collegewide SLO assessment process?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preplanning Semester- Spring</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tasks</strong></td>
<td><strong>Person Responsible</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deans and disciplines are notified of participating courses and workgroups members are requested; Deans and disciplines are given the opportunity to request additional courses</td>
<td>COAT Coordinators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Workgroups identified</td>
<td>Deans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen Ed Competencies assigned</td>
<td>COAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Semester- Fall</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OA Orientation for Faculty Workgroups, Deans, VPPs</strong></td>
<td>COAT Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete draft OA Plan including: SLOs, Supporting Student Activities, Assessment Materials, Scoring Tools</td>
<td>Workgroup w/input from discipline &amp; Lead Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA Workshops for Workgroups</td>
<td>COAT Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review draft OA Plans; return with comments to Faculty Workgroup &amp; Lead Dean</td>
<td>COAT Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pilot Semester- Spring</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Review Complete OA Plan with Discipline</strong></td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify Pilot Participants- CRN, Instructor names</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit Final Pilot OA Plan with Discipline and Lead Dean approval to COAT team</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Spreadsheets mockup</td>
<td>COAT Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirm Spreadsheet layout</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Pilot and submit data</td>
<td>Participating Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA planning meeting</td>
<td>Faculty workgroups, Lead Deans, Chairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review OA pilot results and materials, make revisions as needed</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Implementation Semester- Fall</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate OA materials and expectations to all participating faculty</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit final draft OA Plan for Full Implementation</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify CRN and Instructor Names for all sections of participating courses</td>
<td>COAT Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirm Instructors, Contact information and CRN’s</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Spreadsheet</td>
<td>COAT Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Assessments and submit data</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Recommendations Semester- Spring</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review OA process, materials and preliminary results</td>
<td>Faculty workgroup with discipline</td>
<td>Jan Professional Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request additional data analysis</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroup</td>
<td>Feb 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full data results reports to disciplines</td>
<td>OIRAw/ COAT</td>
<td>March 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus observations and recommendation submitted with signoff from campus discipline dean</td>
<td>Faculty Workgroups with discipline faculty</td>
<td>May 1; <a href="#">Form</a> SLO Campus Observations &amp; Recommendations Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Campus Recommendation Form</td>
<td>COAT</td>
<td>May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline summary recommendation are submitted with sign off from Lead Dean</td>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>Sept 15; <a href="#">Form</a> SLO Discipline Summary Recommendations Form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COAT = Collegewide Outcomes Assessment Team
XI. Who’s responsible and for what?

A successful student learning outcomes assessment project requires the participation of many people throughout the College. While discipline faculty are the heart and soul of the process, others, including the Outcomes Assessment Coordinator(s), Faculty Cadre, the Data Resource Team, administrators, and students, all have important roles to play.

The Discipline Workgroup consists of at least one full-time faculty member from each campus at which the course being assessed is offered.

Specific Responsibilities:
- Development of an assessment plan, including which collegewide common core learning outcomes will be assessed, instruments to assess these, and rubrics or detailed instructions for scoring the assessments
- Submission of Outcomes Assessment Forms in a timely manner
- Coordinating the pilot and full-scale assessments for the discipline
- Reviewing and disseminating assessment results to discipline faculty
- Leading discipline discussions on recommendations stemming from the assessment results

Because this project will involve and impact all faculty in the discipline, a critical expectation of the workgroup is that they will communicate with and solicit feedback from their colleagues during all phases of the project.

Discipline Faculty participate regularly throughout the process by providing feedback and guidance to the Discipline Workgroup as they plan and implement the student learning outcomes assessment project.

Specific Responsibilities:
- Make their students aware of collegewide common core learning outcomes for their courses
- Provide input to the Faculty Workgroup during the development of the assessment plan
- Participate in the pilot assessment (if selected to do so), score it, and submit assessment data on time
- Participate in the full-scale assessment, score it, and submit assessment data on time
- Engage in discussion of the assessment results, and how they may be used to improve student learning.

Students are expected to be aware of the common core student learning outcomes for their courses, including the relevant general education competencies. Students should also understand and be familiar with how they will be evaluated on these outcomes and competencies, including any rubrics used to score the assessments.
Administrators from the campus deans to the VP/Provosts and Executive Vice Presidents help convey the importance of outcomes assessment to the College community. Administrators are expected to hold faculty accountable for implementing outcomes assessment as required. In addition, they provide leadership, guidance, and support for the process, including any recommendations to improve student learning that may result from the assessments.

Specific Responsibilities:

Lead Deans:
- Attend OA orientation during fall professional week
- Meet with Faculty Workgroup at least during the assessment planning semester
- Review draft OA plan before and after comments from the COAT
- Sign off on final draft of OA plan (Feb 1 of Pilot Semester) after sharing with campus discipline deans and VPPs
- Sign off on SLO discipline summary recommendations (Sept 1 of Recommendation Semester) after sharing with campus discipline deans and VPPs
- Monitor implementation of recommendations

Campus Discipline Deans:
- Identify members of Faculty Workgroup, in consultation with Chair/Coordinator
- Review final draft of OA plan and provide input to Lead Dean
- Sign off on SLO campus observations and recommendations
- Assist with implementation of recommendations

Vice President & Provosts:
- Review final draft of OA plan and provide input to Lead Dean
- Review SLO discipline summary recommendations
- Assure that recommendations are implemented

Executive Vice Presidents:
- Ensure that outcomes assessment is a college priority.
- Promote the importance and value of outcomes assessment to the entire campus community
- Hold campus administrators accountable for effective outcomes assessment at the campus level

The College-wide Outcomes Assessment Team (COAT) is made up of faculty and resource people who are responsible for overseeing and supporting outcomes assessment efforts at Montgomery College. The team is made up of a Coordinator(s), Faculty Cadre, the Data Resource Team and a lead administrator. This team works with College administration and faculty to facilitate and promote outcomes assessment.
Specific Responsibilities:

Coordinator(s):
- Provide general oversight, planning, and coordination of all aspects of the collegewide outcomes assessment process, including assessment of the General Education Program
- Communicate and promote the importance of outcomes assessment to entire college community
- Advise faculty workgroups tasked with developing outcomes assessment plans for their disciplines
- Consult with and advise other units of the College that are assessing outcomes for their area

Faculty Cadre:
- Provide expertise and guidance to a small number of academic disciplines as they develop their student learning outcomes assessment plans
- Participate in meetings devoted to oversight and planning of the collegewide outcomes assessment process

Data Resource Team:
- Analyze pilot and full-scale assessment data
- Provide written reports which summarize results for pilot and full-scale assessments
XII. Fall 2007- Outcomes Assessment Update

Courses beginning the planning phase of the OA process in Fall 2007-

**Alpha Group**- BI 101, BI 107, CJ 110, ED 101, SN 101, FR 101, PY 102, PY 203, DS 102, MA 182, MA 182

Faculty workgroups for these courses will be developing an assessment plan beginning this fall. The assessment will be piloted in Spring 2008 and all sections will complete the assessment in Fall 2008. For more information about the OA planning process or to participate, please contact your course coordinator or chair, who can put you in contact with the faculty workgroup leading the project.

These courses will develop a plan to assess 3 course specific outcomes; Gen Ed courses will assess at least one course specific outcome that reflects a Gen Ed competency.

**Lead Deans and VP/Provosts for Disciplines Starting Assessment in AY 07-08**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Lead Dean</th>
<th>Lead VP/Provost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>Porras</td>
<td>Pinkney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Justice</td>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>Payne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>Ackerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Languages</td>
<td>Terry</td>
<td>Ackerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Hawkins</td>
<td>Pinkney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS 102</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Ackerman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Rai</td>
<td>Ackerman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Courses implementing full-scale assessments in Fall 2007-

EC 201, FM 103, HE 107, HS 201, MU 110, PH 203, SO 101, WS 101, AC 201

All sections of these courses should be completing the outcomes assessment project identified by the discipline. If you are teaching one of these courses, please make sure you have the material for the project and have it indicated on your syllabus. If you have questions about the assessment project, please contact your course coordinator or chair who can put you in contact with the faculty workgroup leading the project.

These courses are assessing 3 course specific outcomes; Gen Ed courses are assessing at least one outcome that reflects critical thinking.

Courses completing the recommendations phase of the OA process in Fall 2007-

CG 120, CH 101, EN 109, MA 180, PG 150, PS 101, MG 202, DS 107

These courses completed their assessment in Fall 2006, reviewed data in Spring 2007 and will be completing observations and recommendations for their campuses and disciplines.
in early September. For more information about the data, observations and recommendations, or the OA project for these courses, please contact the chair or course coordinator, who can put you in touch with the faculty workgroup leading the project.

**Fall Professional Week OA Meetings and Workshops**

**Outcomes Assessment Orientation** - Monday, August 27, 2007, 9-11am

This meeting is designed for faculty workgroups, chairs and deans whose courses are beginning the assessment process this fall. It will provide an overview of the OA process and expectations; it will also be a time for the workgroups with begin working on their project. If you are interested intending this orientation, but are not specifically involved in a current project, please contact Samantha Streamer-Veneruso or Ken Weiner for information.

**Full Implementation Meeting** - Monday, August 27, 2007, 11-12pm

This meeting is designed for faculty workgroups, chairs and deans who are doing full implementation of assessment plans in the fall. At this meeting OIRA staff and OA faculty will review the pilot data and assessments with faculty workgroups, chairs and deans to ensure that we are all ready for the full implementation this fall.

“**What’s all the Fuss about Outcomes Assessment?: Let’s Talk**” - Monday, August 27, 2007, 2-3pm

A facilitated discussion about the value of outcomes assessment. In this discussion, we will explore faculty concerns about OA, the underlying philosophy and OA process at MC, and the general value of an outcomes based educational model.

**Other OA Activities**

**Information Literacy Focus Group**

A focus group of faculty is working on articulating standards and expectations for the Information Literacy, General Education Competency. This group will be working throughout Fall 2007 to clearly define and articulate Information Literacy. Please look for information about this group’s work in late Fall 2007. If you are interested in participating with this group or have questions, please contact, Samantha Streamer-Veneruso.
XIII. Resource and Contact Information

OA Coordinators
Ken Weiner (x75203)
Samantha Streamer-Veneruso (x77940)

OA Cadre
Mark Alves- AELP, RV (x77442)
Rebecca Thomas- BI, RV (x74224)
Nawal Benmouna- PH, TP/SS
Kelly Rudin- HS, GT

OIRA Members
Ruth Ciccocelli (x77313)
Debra Morris (x77312)

Administrator
Kathleen Wessman- Interim Chief Policy, Planning, and Research Officer (x77971)

Information Literacy Focus Group
Steering Committee
Sarah Fisher- TP/SS Librarian
John Hamman- GT Mathematics
Greg Ryan- GT, PY
Dan Wilson- RV, Sociology
Beth Ridings- RV, HE
Christopher Collins- RV, PL

Resource Consultant
Rita Kranidis- Writing In Disciplines (x71617)

Resource information

Please see the Montgomery College Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Handbook for more in depth information about everything that’s contained in these Cliff Notes. The Handbook, along with all collegewide outcomes assessment forms, is available online at: www.montgomerycollege.edu/outcomes